

# Department of Development Services Building Division

4701 W. Russell Road • Las Vegas, NV 89118 (702) 455-3000 • Fax (702) 221-0630

Ronald L. Lynn, Director/Building Official

SUBJECT: TG-200-2008 PEER REVIEW of CONSTRUCTION DOCUMENTS & ASBUILT CONDITIONS

- 1.0 PURPOSE: This guideline addresses how the Department of Development Services Building Division will identify the need and apply the requirement for a Peer Review of construction documents. This authority is granted to the Building Official under the Clark County Building Administrative Code. The process may be applied to engineering design, testing protocols, as-built construction, and materials or methods intended as an alternate to those provisions specified in the technical codes where technical review by either the department staff or retained consultants is not available. A Peer Review is intended to provide a second opinion and achieve professional consensus on the soundness and of the underlying assumptions, approach, and completeness of the design under review.
- **2.0 SCOPE:** The Building Official will determine the scope of the Peer Review. It may involve a complete examination of the entire proposal or it may be limited to specific aspects of the proposal as required by the Building Official. The Peer Review shall include the complete examination of construction documents for compliance with applicable codes and standards, appropriateness of design assumptions, engineering methods and/or models and all data used to support the proposal. Where the design uses a methodology outside an adopted code or reference standard, the Peer Review shall address the applicability of the methodology, or design standard, to the project under review.

### 3.0 ABBREVIATIONS & ACRONYMS

**TG:** Technical Guideline

**4.0 DEFINITIONS:** For the purposes of this technical guideline certain terms, phrases, words and their derivatives shall be construed as specified in this section and the Clark County Building Administrative Code.

Approval Date: February 1, 2008 Effective Date: March 1, 2008

Written By:	Concurred By:	Approved By:
/s/	/s/	/s/
Theodore L. Droessler, P.E. Manager of Engineering	Neil Burning Plan Examination Manager	Ronald L. Lynn Director/Building Official

**Peer Review** is the evaluation of analysis, design, specifications, recommendations and/or as-built conditions by individuals qualified by their education, training and experience as appropriate to the situation. It is not an alternative or supplemental design.

### 5.0 REFERENCES

Clark County Building Administrative Code International Building Code (IBC) – Current adopted edition as amended

### 6.0 RESPONSIBILITIES

- **6.1 Owner**. The project owner shall contract with the peer reviewer or peer review team as approved by the Building Official. The project owner is responsible for all costs associated with the peer review including any requested overtime required of Building Division personnel. A peer review candidate pool shall be established by the owner based on qualifications and the minimum number of candidates specified in Section 7.
- **6.2 Peer Reviewer**. The Peer Reviewer shall comply with the qualifications specified and documentation requirements in Section 7.1 and the quality assurance issues in Section 7.4.
- **6.3 Building Official**. The Building Official shall review the owners' preliminary proposal and the qualifications of the peer review pool of candidates. The Building Official shall notify the owner of any additional information required and specify the peer review members.

### 7.0 PROCEDURE

- **7.1 Qualifications.** The peer reviewer must be qualified to the level specified or required of the registered design professional of record that produced the project documents that are the subject of peer review. In all cases the peer reviewer or a member of the peer review team must comply with state registration or licensing regulations required of the registered design professional of record. When only portions of a proposal are the subject of a peer review, the peer reviewer or peer review team need only comply with the qualification criteria below.
- **7.2 Candidate Pool.** The applicant must submit at least three qualified candidates. The Building Official, at his sole discretion, will select a peer reviewer or peer review team as appropriate to the proposal under consideration.
- **7.3 Selection.** The Building Official shall approve the peer reviewer. The peer review process shall be approved only on an individual project basis and shall occur prior to the owner contracting for this service. The importance of a peer reviewer's independence and technical expertise cannot be overemphasized. The peer reviewer must be objective and have no conflict of interest in the project. Any candidate being considered as a peer reviewer must disclose any potential conflict of interest or technical bias to the Building

Official (Attachment C). A peer reviewer must demonstrate, through documented education and experience that he (she) has the necessary knowledge and expertise to understand and evaluate the proposal. Please see attachment "A" for information necessary to the selection process.

- **7.4 Quality Assurance**. The peer reviewer shall supply with their recommendations and conclusions the following, at a minimum, for the proposal being examined.
  - Compliance to applicable law, ordinances, building codes, and standards.
  - Equivalency to the standards prescribed in terms of suitability, quality, strength, effectiveness, fire resistance, durability, safety, and sanitation.
  - Submittal of sufficient evidence to substantiate any proposed alternate material, design, or construction method.
  - Design objectives, assumptions and technical approach.
  - The proper execution of the design approach.
  - Recommendation on the approval or denial with justifications when the peer review is intended to provide a second opinion.
- 7.5 Certificate of Compliance. The peer reviewer shall submit a Certificate of Compliance, found in Attachment B, to the Building Official upon completion of the review and after any necessary design changes have been made as a result of the peer review.
- **8.0 RECORDS:** Documents approved in support of an Alternate Material or Method of Construction request are record documents and will be maintained with other permit documents in accordance with established policy and procedure. A letter documenting the approval and any limitations shall be maintained with the supporting documents.

#### 9.0 ATTACHMENTS

Attachment A: Summary Qualification Data Attachment B: Certificate of Compliance Attachment C: Conflict of Interest Affidavit

#### 10.0 REVISION HISTORY

Title	Revision/Approved Date	<b>Effective Date</b>
TG-200-2008	February 1, 2008	March 1, 2008
TG-200-2005	May 31, 2005	June 6, 2005

### COMPANY LETTERHEAD ADDRESS, TELEPHONE & FAX #



# Department of Development Services Building Division

4701 W. Russell Rd • Las Vegas NV 89118 (702) 455-3000 • Fax (702) 221-0630

Ronald L. Lynn, Director/Building Official

## ATTACHMENT A – PEER REVIEWER INFORMATION & EXPERIENCE SUMMARY [Your Name]

[Organization]			
[Street Address], [City, State ZIP Code]			
[phone]			
[e-mail]			
<b>Executive Summary</b>			
Areas of Expertise			
Experience	[Organization]	[DATES]	
	[Title] [Provide Experience Summary]		

### COMPANY LETTERHEAD ADDRESS, TELEPHONE & FAX #

Attachment A – TG-200

-1-

Experience	[Organization]	[DATES]
	[Title]	
	[Provide Experience Summary]	

**Experience** [Organization] [DATES]

[Title]

[Provide Experience Summary]

## COMPANY LETTERHEAD ADDRESS, TELEPHONE & FAX #

ATTACHMENT B – CERTIFICATE OF COMPLIANCE

### **CERTIFICATE OF COMPLIANCE**

DATE

Project Name: Project Address: Permit Application No.		
COMPANY NAME performed and completed to project in accordance with the scope determined review was ENTER SCOPE.	-	
SUBCONTRACTED COMPANY performed the services performed by SUBCONTRACTED COMPANY NAME. (This paragraph may be determined by the services performed by SUBCONTRACTED COMPANY NAME.	COMPANY	were reviewed and accepted by
Attached for your review and ready for approval are the construction plans, reports, calculations and other documents required per code. Any items that were found to be in noncompliance with the adopted codes were identified and corrected.		
		REGISTERED DESIGN PROFFESIONALS SEAL HERE
CCDDS-BD REVIEW STAMP		

CLIENT INFO

## COMPANY LETTERHEAD ADDRESS, TELEPHONE & FAX #

ATTACHMENT C: CONFLICT OF INTEREST AFFIDAVIT

### **AFFIDAVIT**

**POLICY UNDERSTANDING: Conflict of Interest** 

Date:				
Firm:				
Reviewer:				
Project:				
Project Owner:  Registered Design Professional of Record:				
	reviewer, reviewing firm, project owner and is review discipline that may be considered a			
Signature	Date			